

APPROVED MINUTES

SHASTA COUNTY REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION PLANNING AGENCY (MPO)
Tuesday, September 24, 2002, 4:00 p.m.
Board of Supervisors' Chambers, Courthouse
1500 Court Street, Redding, California

(Note: These minutes are not intended to serve as a transcript or verbatim record of the proceedings of the Shasta County Regional Transportation Planning Agency, but rather as a record of meeting time, place and attendance; the order and general nature of discussion; Agency deliberations; and action taken, if any.)

Agency Members Clarke, Hawes, Duryee and Stegall were present. Agency Members McGeorge, Wilson, Connick and Kight were absent.

1. Call to Order:

Board Member Clarke called the meeting to order at 4:03 p.m.

2. Public Comment Period:

There was no one who wished to speak during the Public Comment Period.

Consent Calendar

3-1 Minutes of July 23, 2002, RTPA Meeting

3-2 Future RTPA Meeting Schedule Through July 2003 - Information Only

3-3 Adopt A Policy For Performance Criteria And Procedures To Evaluate Community Transit Service Claims

3-4 Consider Authorizing A \$5,000 Payment To The Great Valley Center For Scenario Planning Project In The Central Valley

3-5 Adopt DBE For 2002-2003

By motion made, seconded and carried, the items on the Consent Calendar were approved.

Regular Calendar

Executive Officer Kovacich announced that the California Transportation Commission (CTC) will hold their April 2003 meeting in Redding this year. This will be the first time in memory that the CTC has held a meeting here. Arrangements have been made with the City of Redding to use the City Council Chambers and staff is working with the Tourism Bureau to make

this a successful meeting event. Mr. Kovacich added that he would like to recognize the efforts of Dan Little, who's been lobbying the Commission for several years to get them to meet up here.

4. **Consider Terminating Trial Transit Services**

Executive Officer Kovacich explained the trial services have not met the required standards. At the last meeting the Agency directed staff to bring this item back to make the findings necessary to terminate the service effective November 1, 2002.

Board Member Clarke asked if anyone in the audience wanted to address the Board on this issue. There was no one who wished to speak. Ms. Clarke noted that the Board did receive a petition concerning the Intermountain area.

Board Member Stegall asked for clarification that the route from Redding to Burney would still be in effect-but from McArthur to Burney, it would not. Ms. Stegall also asked how far from the 65 percent were the routes. It was explained to her that Airport Road is at 1.5 percent, McArthur to Burney is at 3.9 percent, and McArthur to Redding is at 13.1 percent. Last year Burney to Redding was making 24.4 percent, indicating that the predominant ridership is from Burney into Redding. This service has been provided since about 1995 and is pretty well established. Board Member Hawes stated that he spoke to the IMAGE group in the Burney/McArthur area last week and explained that the Burney to Redding route will still be in effect-only the McArthur to Burney run will be terminated.

By motion made and seconded (Hawes/Stegall) the staff recommendation passed unanimously.

5. **Endorse Principles of Reauthorization Adopted by the State Of California as Part of Implementing a New Highway Bill**

Executive Officer Kovacich explained that Congress will be reauthorizing a new six-year highway bill. Typically the federal government funds transportation in a six-year program, including an individual annual appropriation process. Historically the state of California has done a very poor job in getting together as a group and using its weight to the best advantage for the State. Some private businesses as well as a number of government agencies have recognized this deficiency. This year the State is taking a lead role in trying to get all of the agencies throughout the State to support these principles of reauthorization, which are kind of akin to motherhood and apple pie.

The staff recommendation is to endorse these principles and then, working with Chair Connick, arrange a meeting with Congressman Herger's office, to present this information to Herger. That way, next year California can present a unified front. The better that California does, the better Shasta County will do. It is a competition among all fifty states.

Board Member Clarke suggested that a meeting also be arranged with Senator Boxer and Feinstein. She has found that both of these representatives are very receptive to meeting with people up here.

By motion made and seconded (Hawes/Duryee) the staff recommendation passed unanimously.

6. Amendment No. 1 to the 2002/2003 Overall Work Program (OWP)

Executive Officer Kovacich explained that this is amendment No. 1 to this year's Overall Work Program. Money needs to be moved from last year to this year to complete a couple of projects that are underway in the City of Shasta Lake, and that RABA has underway. The City of Shasta Lake is in the middle of doing an aerial survey of the city that they were not able to get done by June 30, 2002. RABA is incorporating some interactive mapping on their WEB page that was not completed by June 30, 2002. The Federal program doesn't allow money that's committed in prior years to be carried over, so a double budget needs to be done. The surplus from last year is not available yet; it is kind of in limbo. These amendments are funded out of surpluses, and then around January or February they will return the surplus that wasn't spent last year. This is a way to keep the work moving.

By motion made and seconded (Hawes/Stegall) the staff recommendation passed unanimously.

There being no other business to discuss, Board Member Clarke adjourned the meeting at 4:15 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Daniel J. Kovacich, Executive Officer

/jac